

CCI Meeting Summary

April 18, 2023 | 7:00 pm – 9:00 pm

Virtual Zoom meeting

Attendees: Mary Manseau (CPO 7); Virginia Bruce (CPO 1); Bruce Bartlett (CPO 1, CCI Chair); Jill Warren (CPO 4M); Gretchen Buehner (CPO 4K); Paul Johnson CPO 15, Stan Houlseman, Greg Malinowski, Rob Liles Garcia, Jim Long 4 CPO,

Other attendees: Dan, Dave Robison, Emily Vondada, Greg g, Jenny Cisneros, Leigh Knox, Micah Paulsen, Nancy Hazelett, Steve H, VICI, Pat Fischer, Kathleen, Lisa Stefenwicz, Steve Gysel,

Meeting Scribes: Christina, Washington County Community Engagement Program Coordinator.

7:01 PM Meeting Called to order by Bruce, CCI Chair

Approval of the Minutes: Gretchen moves, Mary second the motion. 9 yes vote. Motion passes unanimously.

Youtube Recording: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jel1HTb17mA>

Washington County Staff: Christina Barboza, Amanda Garcia-Snell,

Approximate total attendance: 30 counting the scribe

Welcome, Introductions

- **Chair Harrington:** regarding the SNR work, she is looking forward to the completion of the study by the team and reporting its findings. She is unaware of what the recommendations maybe but is looking forward to the process. The Chair stands to learn more about the work.
- We have been learning the past three years about what the county government can do to preform as a more efficient and effective county. The county is doing its best to ensure that county staff have the tools to effectively do their jobs. Its has been a learning experience as the county learns about its antiquated systems that impacts the type of reports are generated. Also trying to figure out what the county service levels are countywide. Specifically, the shared services with state, which are mandated, and which are not. In additions to the funding the state provides for mandated services.
- The chair is still trying to figure out how to meet community needs as they evolve and change. Forum have been provided to include community. Two budget forums are happening soon and will be reported. The budget will be released on the 27th, and there will be a meeting May 8, 2023, to share the proposed budget. The team will collect questions during the process.
- Re-envisioning: looking forward to better understanding the process of re-envisioning for cpos during the next quarterly report. For the county strategic plan, we have been committed to have a process to engage in the strategic planning process and development. As a part of the process an RFP will go out to ensure that the groups that are historically underserved by government will be on the journey as well.
- \$25 million gap was identified in the budget proposal submitted by Tanya. There were structural issues in the budget as it relied on one time funding streams. The current proposal covers

services growth as the state is increasing mandates. The Board would like to have a convo among itself regarding the strategic plan if budgets will be proposed with the same strategic plans. The date for the board to have the conversation regarding the strategic plan may not happen until September or October at the earliest.

- Chair has not reread the 2016 report since 2019 regarding the CPO re-envisioning. The Re-envisioning topic will go before the board sometime in May. Chair recognizes that CPO leaders have put a great deal of time and energy on previous reports. The board would like to hear more from staff about the different approaches. IT is not useful for the board and staff to think of this process by itself.
- Gretchen: CCI has created a subcommittee to work on the re-i-envisioning process and Gretchen will be interested in the Chair's approach to having CPOs work with staff to share and discuss ideas.
- The county should take a pro-annexation position>>>>>>>>
 - Chair: Annexation, the chair would like to acknowledge that there are places that annexation will make more sense in places than others. All of the county deserves to healthy and thriving, but we can use one technique in areas, nor having cities take on areas and work if they are unable to. This topic deserves conversations regarding unincorporated areas.
 - Property tax revenues to provide resources is not adding up. This year we are creating a baseline regarding the budget with the budget equity tool.
 - Mary; There are cities that are expanding through other means, not necessarily annexation.

WCCCI Subcommittees

● Significant Natural Resources Subcommittee Report

- Jill: LCDC is going to hold a vote on the 20th of April at 1pm and they are voting to impose an injunction on Washington county to stop the development of SNR areas. Discussion of the enforcement order will occur during this hearing.
- SNR committee met last week the county shares its ideas on agendas, but they forget the word “protect”. The state website states that protection is a part of the goal. Jim believes wildlife should be included as it is a goal of CPO 4M.
 - In response to a request from CPO-4M Vice-Chair for an Enforcement Order from 420 Oregon LCDC packet Item 6 [1:00 PM] Washington County Enforcement Order Decision The Commission will consider the hearings officer's draft findings regarding an enforcement order against Washington County for not having clear and objective standards; protecting significant natural areas and will make a decision whether to issue an enforcement order, and if so, the terms of that order. Go to LCDC website to get link to meeting
- The SNR prefers local mitigation to be set up when possible. When they set up mitigation there needs to be a follow through to ensure it can be documented and enforced and have protections in place.
- Jim will get the link for the meeting and will share it with others for those who want to attend the LCDC hearing

- Bruce: Are there any jurisdictions that have effective SNR codes? The only one Jim can recall is Wilsonville, but he does not recall the details. Jim is hopeful that the county can set precedent. Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion also includes wildlife
- Paul: what does mitigation in this context mean? Jim: Mitigation is not well defined. Not clear if its new places or enhancements as to where wildlife can go to. We would like to get that cleared up somehow.
- Marylou: Neighbors in 4K are worried about some of the issues in the area and have resorted to gather help from Treekeepers, Tualatin Riverkeepers, and others. The development in Bull Mountain is impacting the trails. *(I was unable to hear Marylou's concerns as Gretchen was speaking over her)*
- Virginia: Agrees that wildlife is important and has a great deal benefit for the community.
- Mary: Comment to Maryou, when the big projects come up, it's the sole responsibility of CPO leadership, but leaders reach out to groups that have interests in those area. Its challenging for CPOs to deal with specific projects if they are not completely familiar with the process and topic. We can't rely on the leaders to address everything.
- CPO leaders can invite leaders to bring motions to CCI to write letters to appropriate jurisdictions.

Communications Subcommittee Report

• CPO Program Re-Envisioning Subcommittee Report

- Bruce: Shared maps of Washington County for how cpo may be divided with specific boundaries
- Bruce is a fan of using preexisting boundaries.
- Mary: The urban unincorporated area is limited to the county commissioner for representation. Should the first question be: what is the purpose and function of a CPO?
- When CPO12F reactivated there was discussion of what boundaries should be used when addressing county issues. CPO 12F is currently working on addressing housing, food security and Youth Development. The CPO 12F housing committee should be deputized by CCI to address the housing issues countywide.
- Marylou: the boundaries shared on some maps are school districts boundaries. Bruce, some of the maps of school districts are more finely grained than other maps.
- Boundaries that already exist that could be useful for CPO keeps driving Bruce back to school district boundaries and they already cover all of Washington County.
- Virginia: There are varying views of the purpose of CPOs. Some prefer cpos focus on land use issues, others see CPO systems as a way to have better representation.
- Mary: Its critical that CCI/CPO finds out from county commissioners how they see the role of CPOs. Mary believes that whatever is decided that there needs to be a group that focuses on land use issues. It is important to know the decision of the role of CPOs prior to discussing boundaries. Transportation issues and issues involving land use require community members to be well versed in land use and transportation rules to be effective.
- Bruce strongly encourages CCI/CPO members to review the quarterly report on CPO re-envisioning prior to the board meeting.
- Mary: CCI or the steering committee should spend some time reviewing the information and provide comments.

- Bruce suggests that CCI/CPO analyze and prepare a response to be submitted after OEICE shares their presentation.
- Gretchen: found it useful to see the mapping. One caveat in south county, Beaverton school district goes into parts of Tigard, and Hillsboro school district goes into the parts of Beaverton ect.
- It would be useful for rural cpos to bring their issues to the forefront as a collective whole.
- Ken: Ask CPO leaders to move forward their priorities and sort through the cpo priorities.
- Mary: compiled a list of accomplishment over the past 20 years. It would be helpful to have all CPOs create an accomplishment list that is reviewed annually.
- Darcie: CPO 12F has a subcommittee that is taking over the Taskforce. She would like to see CPOs have flexibility and not have priorities outlined for cpos as they communities and needs vary, to include livability topics and land use and transportation. We should look at a model that is not one size fits all.
- Gretchen: Rural CPOs have different issues that urban cpos. Urban cpos have historically dominated the priorities moving forward.
- URMDAC could come present to CCI about their work in future CCI meetings.

Legislative Report

- Gretchen: HB 301 passed the senate and has been signed by the governor. Allowed a special assessment for folks to cite rural land concerns
- HB 2506 is coming out of the house, allowing residential care facilities including mental health facilities in all residential zones.
- HB 3569 Bill that provides right to housing and speeds up land use process. Still in the house in grouping work . LLC and county organization are in opposition
- HB 3414 would change the burden of proof regarding any variance requested by a developer, to the city or county. Current law provides the developer has the burden of proof to prove the need for the variance. This would be a significant increase in time required to process the variance, and make it difficult to deny.
- Broadband bill passed the house and went to the senate.
- HB 2258 extends allowing industrial development in rural areas. There will be a loan fund from the state for developers to fund their planning work
- HB 1051 has come out of committee and going to the house floor.

CPO Leaders Roundtable

- **CPO 1 Virginia:** CPO hosted it's first hybrid meeting and the go kits worked well. The building staff were very welcoming and accommodating. Virginia would like to provide meeting agendas in Spanish and ask for OEICE to provide interpreters. Hosted candidate forum
- **CPO 3 Stan:** The Portland golf club has decided to dredge 5,500 cubic yards of the project is on Fanno Creek trail and next to houses. At least 7,000 people use the trail in the winter, and it doubles in the summer. There is no chemical testing done by the golf course and the impact on the environment. Stan is open to any suggestion to address the concern

- **CPO 4M;** Willamette water supply district is going the cpo. 217 auxiliary lanes are in works and will be a several year project. Enforcement order to protect wildlife is a priority. City of Tigard is doing a city review.
- **CPO 4K Gretchen:** for the last 6 years the new area prior to the urban growth area continues to be a concern.
- **CPO 6 Ken:** Cpo is hosting county staff to provide presentations. They had the planning department present on the function of the commission and the application processes. The development the city of Beaverton is doing on cooper mountain and the impact it has on wildlife is a concern to CPO 6
- **CPO 7 Mary:** Has not been meeting and has no leadership. However, Commissioner Treece attended and discussed ARPA funding. 15 people In attendance at the in person meeting.
- **CPO 12F Darcie:** CPO Will be meeting next Wednesday. There will be discussion on the pod housing that is currently proposed.
- **Stan:** still participating in Quake-UP. CPO has been talking about being advocates regarding spending on earthquake preparedness. The lessons are costlier than prevention. Stan hopes the commissioners promotes the idea to the community and business as a whole and promote the updating of buildings ect.

9:14pm Adjourned: Mary moves to adjourn, Jim Second.

ZOOM Chat

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 07:01 PM

you can un-host me now

From Me to All Panelists 07:02 PM

Virginia you will have to make me the host.

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 07:11 PM

We began the Significant Natural Resources report while we were waiting for you, Kathryn

From Ken Seymour CPO6 to All Panelists 07:40 PM

Need to promote Becky Morinishi :)

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 07:46 PM

Good question, in light of the governor's new powers!!



True that!

I've been thinking that OEICE should eventually have the ability to connect with HOAs. The county in general doesn't want to touch it.

From Me to Everyone 07:47 PM

CPO Re-envisioning presentation is planned for work session on May 9, 2023.

From Becky Morinishi (she/her) CPO6 to All Panelists 07:49 PM

Durham

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 07:52 PM



We also need to keep thinking about the environmental services of the natural areas.

From Me to Everyone 07:53 PM

I received a email from Kathy 4K, and they will be planning a cpo meeting in May

From Becky Morinishi (she/her) CPO6 to All Panelists 07:55 PM

This rudeness is why I don't come to meetings anymore

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 08:01 PM

what rudeness? Me?

Was I rude?

Why can't we chat to everyone?

I am limited to host & panelists

Becky left the meeting, why?

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 08:23 PM

Beaverton calls itself a "Tree City."

Did you say that you had sent this to us? I did not get it. Or was it just for the subcommittee members?

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 08:32 PM

agree!

Christina, why can't I post to everyone? I think normally panelists can post to all

if we don't express our opinion on who we serve and what we do, they will decide. I don't like the idea of leaving it up to BCC

From Me to Everyone 08:33 PM

@ Virginia, you have to click on everyone at the bottom of the chat box.

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 08:35 PM

I know but it's not working

Can all the other panelists post to "Everyone?"

From Me to Everyone 08:35 PM

I am not sure, you are a panelist so you should be able to

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 08:36 PM

yeah I agree!

From Me to Everyone 08:36 PM

I can make you a co-host and see if that works for you.

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to Everyone 08:37 PM

thanks that worked

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to All Panelists 08:43 PM

Bruce, do we have other subcommittee reports? Are we not going to talk about what is going on in our CPO?

I miss that part of the meeting and it does give the rural folks a chance

From Darci (CPO12F) to All Panelists 08:53 PM

The kit in the western county has already gone missing...?

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to Everyone 08:55 PM

Darci let me know if I can help locating it. Christina, can you find out who has access and where it was last seen?

From Me to Everyone 08:56 PM

thanks Virginia. We have been made of aware of this and are actively trying to find out where it is and who checked it out last.

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to Everyone 08:57 PM



From Darci (CPO12F) to All Panelists 08:59 PM

Thanks Virginia, thanks Christina

From Stan Houseman to All Panelists 09:03 PM

Thanks and look forward to speaking with you - Jim

From Virginia Bruce • Cedar Mill to Everyone 09:11 PM

good night, all