SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICES QUARTERLY REPORT

SUBMITTED BY (COUNTY): WASHINGTON COUNTY

FISCAL YEAR: 2023-2024

QUARTER: FOURTH

SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICES

QUARTERLY REPORT TEMPLATE DRAFT

The following information should be submitted **45 calendar days after the end of each quarter**, per IGA requirements. When that day falls on a weekend, reports are due the following Monday.

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Report Due	Nov 15	Feb 15	May 15	Aug 15
Reporting Period	Jul 1 – Sep 30	Oct 1 – Dec 31	Jan 1 – Mar 31	Apr 1 – Jun 30

Please do not change the formatting of margins, fonts, alignment, or section titles.

Section 1. Progress narrative

In no more than 3-5 pages, please tell us about your investments and programming during the reporting period, focusing on at least one of the following topics per quarter: racial equity, capacity building, regional coordination and behavioral health, new investments, leverage, service systems coordination or any other topic connected to your local implementation plan Please also provide updates and information (including numbers or data) to demonstrate progress towards your work plan goals. Note that each topic/work plan goal must be covered in at least one quarterly report during the year.

[Example, if you set an annual goal to increase culturally specific provider organizations by 15%, please tell us by quarter 2 how much progress you've made towards that goal (e.g. 5%)]

Please also address these areas in **each quarter's** narrative.

- Overall challenges and barriers to implementation
- Opportunities in this quarter (e.g. promising findings in a pilot)
- Success in this quarter (e.g. one story that can represent overall success in this quarter)
- Emerging challenges and opportunities with service providers

Quarter Four Summary:

As Washington County's Homeless Services Division's Supportive Housing Services closed outs its third year of operation, attention and efforts continued to focus on system improvements and the launch of new programs and initiatives. Below is a summary of successes, challenges, system improvements, and new initiatives from quarter four.

1. Program Successes

In May, Washington County **launched its RLRA-Only Program** which is a rental-assistance-only program for households currently enrolled in the County's Housing Case Management Services Program receiving both rental assistance via RLRA and supportive case management services who are ready to transition to a lower level of care and indicate that they are no longer in need of supportive case management services, but do not yet have sufficient income to maintain housing without assistance. Households are identified as eligible for graduation from case management services through a tailored assessment that measures the achievement of specific stability benchmarks. As the program launched in quarter four, 64 households were referred to the RLRA-only program and 6 were enrolled at the close of the quarter.

The **Housing Careers Program** continues to provide workforce development support and opportunities for folks with lived experience of homelessness and housing instability. This program serves primarily Population B individuals and provides internship opportunities across a variety of homeless services providers in Washington County.

The Homeless Services Division provided an update on the Access Centers Capital Notice of Funding Offering (NOFO) that was released in quarter three to make strategic investments in up to four access centers that will be geographically distributed across Washington County. In quarter four, the Division awarded capital funds for two future access centers in Tigard and Hillsboro totaling \$10 million the construction of permanent access center sites. As work gets underway, the Division anticipates permanent access centers will open in 2025 and 2026 to provide walk-in services where individuals experiencing or at risk of homelessness can get connected to housing and social services, access basic hygiene items and support, and stay cool or warm during inclement weather events. The NOFO did not include the allocation of funds for site operations and services. However, the solicitation did note that Washington County would allocate operational contracts for access center capital fund recipients at a later date.

Washington County's Eviction Prevention program met its target household goal three times over by the close of quarter four. In quarter four alone, 491 households were served with eviction prevention assistance. The County's program year goal is 500 households total, it was more than tripled with 1,568 households being served at year-end.

2. System Improvements

In quarter four, the Division launched monitoring of the Supportive Housing Services funded Enhanced Rapid Rehousing (ERRH) Program. The monitoring framework was developed to be complementary of the

existing Continuum of Care monitoring framework to streamline monitoring efforts in program years to come. Four ERRH partners were randomly selected to pilot the monitoring project and desk and site monitoring was conducted across May and June. The Division gathered robust feedback from partners to inform the refinement of the monitoring tool and plans to launch comprehensive monitoring across all SHS-funded housing programs in fall 2024.

The county also launched two more subcommittees of the **Homeless Solutions Advisory Council**, our new one governance structure. The Solutions Council provides oversight and guidance to the County's homeless services system of care with five subcommittees: Performance Evaluation (launched in Q3), Equitable Procurement (launched in Q4), Lived Experience (launched in Q4), Homeless Information Management System (launching PY4), and Coordinated Entry (launching PY4).

Finally, Washington County launched an **equity training series** for all contracted community-based organizations providing homeless services in the fourth quarter. The training series aims to cover a variety of relevant topics including the history of inequities in the housing system, the intersectionality of trauma-informed care and equity, and addressing unconscious bias among other topics. These trainings seek to engage staff across all levels of the organizations and will continue throughout the calendar year and beyond. Equity training engagement data will be included in Washington County's annual report later this fall.

3. Challenges and Opportunities

At the close of the fourth quarter, the Homeless Services Division lagged on yearend housing placement goals. As programs are maturing and reaching full enrollment, adjustments were needed to shift from a focus on program enrollment to housing placements and ensure the system was responsive to serving harder to house households. A variety of **interventions were implemented in quarter four** to support the acceleration of housing placements. These interventions included issuing policy updates for all housing programs to increase the number of enrollments that result in placements, streamlining the RLRA application process, directing additional county staff time to application processing, and increasing clarity and efficiency in submitting housing applications. While these improvements will aid in the system's placement performance long-term, they were not implemented soon enough to make up for lagging placements in previous quarters to reach our year-end goals.

However, Washington County saw 440 households housed through supportive housing this year (goal: 500 households) and 252 households housed through Rapid Rehousing this year (goal: 300 households), demonstrating with our recent process improvements, we should be on track to meet future housing placement goals in PY4. These unmet goals are further offset by the gains made over the last year in shelter expansion and eviction prevention.

Move-in Only is a new short-term intervention to assist homeless or at-risk households to secure housing that they will maintain independently without ongoing case management or rent assistance support from a homeless services program. The goal of this program is to quickly support Population B households without waiting for enrollment in a longer-term program prioritized for higher acuity households. In the first year, the program supported 12 households to secure a home, which is well below the intended goal

of the program (200 households). Program adjustments are needed to more quickly identify and engage households that meet program eligibility. While data reflects that the need exists in our community, these households have not sought assistance through our system's traditional pathways. Washington County has identified new access points to engage eligible households and is working to ensure a higher rate of placements through this diversion program in year 4. These placements are reflected below as part of the Rapid Re-Housing & Short-term Rent Assistance reporting category.

As the Division looks ahead, additional process improvement activities include increased focus with provider agencies on their housing placement performance metrics, and the implementation of performance improvement plans for underperforming organizations. Washington County has begun to more closely monitor the application pipeline as well as week-over-week housing placement rates across the homeless services system to continuously evaluate for program efficacy and implement needed system improvements.

4. Financial Analysis

As discussed by the three counties and Metro, the fourth quarter financial report will be submitted on or before August 30th in an effort to ensure all relevant year-end fiscal data is reflected in the report. The early analysis reflects that Washington County continues to **exceed quarter four spending targets** for the amended 2023-2024 fiscal year budget. Of note, the Division has programmed the use of Regional Strategic Investment Funds (RIF) in a variety of areas. These funds support a variety of projects that center on advancing Tri-County Planning Body goals. Funds spent include projects in the regional Landlord Recruitment and Retention Implementation Plan, technical assistance and capacity building efforts directly supporting community-based partners delivering homeless services, Coordinated Entry system analysis and improvements, and health and housing system integration efforts. Total funds allocated to RIF activities will be reflected in the Division's Q4 financial report at the end of August.

Equity Analysis

The Homeless Services Division has been using REALD categories for race and ethnicity since 2022 which contains a similar category for Middle Eastern and North African. The Division trains partners on how to transfer REALD data to HUD data and provides an explanation of each category when conducting trainings on the County's Community Connect assessment. Training materials were updated at the time of the HUD data standards update. Given that the Division implemented the addition of Middle Eastern or North African in quarter three, there is limited data in the reporting category to date. As the next program year gets underway, Washington County anticipates additional data for this new category will be available.

As the Homeless Services Division closes out the program year, there are a variety of equity outcome updates. Washington County will provide a more comprehensive annual equity analysis in its annual report which will be submitted to Metro this fall. This overview will provide a more thorough review of equity impacts throughout the fiscal year.

Section 2. Data and data disaggregation

Please use the following table to provide and disaggregate data on Population A, Population B housing placement outcomes and homelessness prevention outcomes. Please use your local methodologies for tracking and reporting on Populations A and B. You can provide context for the data you provided in the context narrative below.

Data disclaimer:

HUD Universal Data Elements data categories will be used in this template for gender identity and race/ethnicity until county data teams develop regionally approved data categories that more accurately reflect the individual identities.

Section 2.A Housing Stability Outcomes: Placements & Preventions

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Supportive Housing

# housing placements – supportive housing*	This C	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%	
Total people	149		690		
Total households	102		440		
Race & Ethr	nicity			<u>'</u>	
Asian or Asian American	4	3%	18	3%	
Black, African American or African	11	7%	83	12%	
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	39	26%	166	24%	
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	4	3%	46	7%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	8	5%	29	4%	
Middle Eastern or North African	0	0%	4	1%	
White	102	68%	467	68%	
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	86	61%	380	58%	
Client Doesn't Know	1	1%	3	0%	
Client Refused	0	0%	12	2%	
Data Not Collected	6	4%	16	2%	
Disability st	atus				
	#	%	#	%	
Persons with disabilities	105	70%	466	68%	
Persons without disabilities	29	19%	138	20%	
Disability unreported	15	10%	86	12%	
Gender ide	ntity				
	#	%	#	%	
Male	74	50%	327	47%	
Female	68	46%	331	48%	
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	5	3%	15	2%	
Transgender	2	1%	9	1%	
Questioning	0	0%	0	0%	

Client doesn't know				
Client refused	0	0%	3	0%
Data not collected	1	1%	9	1%

^{*}Supportive housing = permanent supportive housing and other service-enriched housing for Population A such as transitional recovery housing

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Rapid Re-Housing & Short-term Rent Assistance

# housing placements – RRH**	This (Quarter	Year to Date	
o'	#	%	#	%
Total people	129		551	
Total households	63		264	
Race & Ethni	city			
Asian or Asian American	1	1%	11	2%
Black, African American or African	12	9%	63	11%
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	49	38%	207	38%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	1	1%	28	5%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	7	5%	28	5%
Middle Eastern or North African	0	0%	3	1%
White	76	59%	357	65%
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	51	45%	244	47%
Client Doesn't Know	0	0%	3	1%
Client Refused	2	2%	10	2%
Data Not Collected	13	10%	17	3%
Disability sta	tus			
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	51	40%	205	37%
Persons without disabilities	61	47%	283	51%
Disability unreported	17	13%	63	11%
Gender iden	tity			
	#	%	#	%
Male	49	38%	246	45%
Female	76	59%	294	53%
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	3	2%	7	1%
Transgender	0	0%	4	1%
Questioning	0	0%	1	0%
Client doesn't know				
Client refused	0	0%	0	0%
Data not collected	1	1%	1	0%

^{**} RRH = rapid re-housing or short-term rent assistance programs

Housing Placements By Intervention Type: Other Permanent Housing Programs (if applicable)

If your county does not have Other Permanent Housing, please write N/A:______

# housing placements – OPH***	This C	This Quarter		Year to Date	
	#	%	#	%	
Total people	6		6		
Total households	6		6		
Race & Ethi	nicity			u.	
Asian or Asian American	0	0%	0	0%	
Black, African American or African	1	17%	1	17%	
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	0	0%	0	0%	
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	0	0%	0	0%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	
Middle Eastern or North African	0	0%	0	0%	
White	5	83%	5	83%	
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	5	83%	5	83%	
Client Doesn't Know	0	0%	0	0%	
Client Refused	0	0%	0	0%	
Data Not Collected	0	0%	0	0%	
Disability st	tatus				
	#	%	#	%	
Persons with disabilities	5	83%	5	83%	
Persons without disabilities	1	17%	1	17%	
Disability unreported	0	0%	0	0%	
Gender ide	ntity				
	#	%	#	%	
Male	1	17%	1	17%	
Female	5	83%	5	83%	
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	0	0%	0%	0%	
Transgender	0	0%	0%	0%	
Questioning	0	0%	0%	0%	
Client doesn't know	0	0%	0%	0%	
Client refused	0	0%	0%	0%	
Data not collected	0	0%	0%	0%	

^{***} OPH = other permanent housing programs (homeless preference units, rent assistance programs without services) that your system operates and SHS funds

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on Housing Placements.

[enter narrative here]

Eviction and Homelessness Prevention

# of preventions	This C	This Quarter		Year to Date	
•	#	%	#	%	
Total people	1409		4457		
Total households	491		1568		
Race & Ethni	city		•		
Asian or Asian American	51	4%	154	3%	
Black, African American or African	204	14%	676	15%	
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	752	53%	2097	47%	
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	29	2%	104	2%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	21	1%	191	4%	
Middle Eastern or North African	14	1%	29	1%	
White	819	58%	2769	62%	
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	423	31%	1493	34%	
Client Doesn't Know	0	0%	2	0%	
Client Refused	2	0%	6	0%	
Data Not Collected	28	2%	45	1%	
Disability sta	tus				
	#	%	#	%	
Persons with disabilities	64	5%	246	6%	
Persons without disabilities	201	14%	675	15%	
Disability unreported	1144	81%	3536	79%	
Gender iden	tity				
	#	%	#	%	
Male	630	45%	2011	45%	
Female	764	54%	2419	54%	
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	0	0%	7	0%	
Transgender	4	0%	7	0%	
Questioning	1	0%	1	0%	
Client doesn't know					
Client refused	0	0%	0	0%	
Data not collected	10	1%	13	0%	

Section 2.B Regional Long-Term Rent Assistance Program

The following data represents a **subset** of the above Housing Placements data. The Regional Longterm Rent Assistance Program (RLRA) primarily provides permanent supportive housing to SHS priority Population A clients (though RLRA is not strictly limited to PSH or Population A).

RLRA data is not additive to the data above. Housing placements shown below are duplicates of the placements shown in the data above.

Please disaggregate data for the **total number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher** during the quarter and year to date.

Regional Long-term Rent Assistance	This Q	This Quarter		Year to Date	
Quarterly Program Data	#	%	#	%	
Number of RLRA vouchers issued during reporting					
period	112		393		
Number of people newly leased up during reporting					
period	146		686		
Number of households newly leased up during					
reporting period	89		394		
Number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher					
during reporting period	2048		2147		
Number of households in housing using an RLRA					
voucher during reporting period	1187		1262		
Number of people in housing using an RLRA voucher					
since July 1, 2021	2253		2253		
Number of households in housing using an RLRA					
voucher since July 1,2021	1331		1331		
Race & Ethni	city			·	
Asian or Asian American	26	1.3%	28	1.3%	
Black, African American or African	267	10.8%	286	11.0%	
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	591	22.0%	613	21.6%	
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	131	6.1%	135	6.1%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	89	3.1%	90	3.0%	
White	1623	83.2%	1701	83.1%	
Non-Hispanic White (subset of White category)	1037	60.3%	1090	60.5%	
Client Doesn't Know	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Client Refused	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Data Not Collected	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Disability sta	itus	·	1		
	#	%	#	%	
Persons with disabilities	953	80.3%	1014	80.3%	
Persons without disabilities	234	19.7%	248	19.7%	
Disability unreported	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Gender iden					
	#	%	#	%	
Male	534	45.0%	574	45.5%	
Female	635	53.5%	668	52.9%	
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	16	1.3%	18	1.4%	
Transgender	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	
Questioning	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Client doesn't know	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Client refused	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	
Data not collected	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
		0.070	"	3.370	

Definitions:

Number of RLRA vouchers issued during reporting period: Number of households who were issued an RLRA voucher during the reporting period. (Includes households still shopping for a unit and not yet leased up.)

Number of households/people newly leased up during reporting period: Number of households/people who completed the lease up process and moved into their housing during the reporting period.

Number of households/people in housing using an RLRA voucher during reporting period: Number of households/people who were in housing using an RLRA voucher at any point during the reporting period. (Includes (a) everyone who has been housed to date with RLRA and is still housed, and (b) households who became newly housed during the reporting period.)

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on the RLRA program.

[enter narrative here]

Section 2.C Subset of Housing Placements and Preventions: Priority Population Disaggregation

The following is a **subset** of the above Housing Placements and Preventions data (all intervention types combined), which represents housing placements/preventions for SHS priority population A.

Population A Report		This Quarter		Year to Date	
· ·	#	%	#	%	
Population A: Total people placed into					
permanent housing/preventions	218		820		
Population A: Total households placed into					
permanent housing/preventions	144		551		
Race & Ethnic	city				
Asian or Asian American	5	2%	11	1%	
Black, African American or African	17	8%	106	13%	
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	70	32%	212	26%	
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	6	3%	60	7%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	12	6%	30	4%	
Middle Eastern or North African	0	0%	3	0%	
White	153	70%	586	71%	
(Subset of White): Non-Hispanic White	111	51%	449	55%	
Client Doesn't Know	1	0%	2	0%	
Client Refused	1	0%	16	2%	
Data Not Collected	8	4%	16	2%	
Disability stat	us				
	#	%	#	%	
Persons with disabilities	148	68%	589	72%	
Persons without disabilities	58	27%	167	20%	

Disability unreported	12	6%	64	8%
Gender ider	ntity			
	#	%	#	%
Male	95	44%	366	45%
Female	117	54%	426	52%
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	5	2%	12	1%
Transgender	1	0%	11	1%
Questioning	0	0%	0	0%
Client doesn't know				
Client refused	0	0%	3	0%
Data not collected	1	0%	6	1%
	•	•	•	

The following is a **subset** of the above Housing Placements and Preventions data (all intervention types combined), which represents housing placements and preventions for SHS priority population B.

Population B Report	This Qu	ıarter	Year to Date	
·	#	%	#	%
Population B: Total people placed into				
permanent housing/preventions	1,522		5018	
Population B: Total households placed into				
permanent housing/preventions	571		1955	
Race & Ethnicit	.y			
Asian or Asian American	51	2%	175	3%
Black, African American or African	211	11%	740	14%
Hispanic or Latin(a)(o)(x)	783	49%	2295	45%
American Indian, Alaska Native or Indigenous	29	2%	117	2%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	31	3%	226	5%
Middle Eastern or North African	14	1%	34	1%
White	884	62%	3108	63%
(Subset of White): Non-Hispanic White	479	36%	1739	37%
Client Doesn't Know	1	0%	7	0%
Client Refused	3	0%	13	0%
Data Not Collected	41	3%	64	1%
Disability statu	S		•	
	#	%	#	%
Persons with disabilities	80	10%	344	12%
Persons without disabilities	270	37%	1028	37%
Disability unreported	1159	54%	3631	52%
Gender identit	у		_	
	#	%	#	%
Male	682	44%	2290	47%

Female	818	55%	2684	53%
A gender that is not singularly 'Male' or 'Female'	5	1%	19	1%
Transgender	5	0%	9	0%
Questioning	1	0%	2	0%
Client doesn't know				
Client refused	0	0%	0	0%
Data not collected	11	1%	17	0%

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided above on Population A/B.

[enter narrative here]

Section 2.D Other Data: Non-Housing Numeric Goals

This section shows progress to quantitative goals set in county annual work plans. Housing placement and prevention progress are already included in the above tables. This section includes goals such as shelter beds and outreach contacts and other quantitative goals that should be reported on a quarterly basis. This data in this section may differ county to county, and will differ year to year, as it aligns with goals set in county annual work plans.

Instructions: Please complete the tables below, as applicable to your annual work plans:

All counties please complete the table below:

Goal Type	Your FY 23-24 Goal	Progress this Quarter	Progress YTD
Shelter Beds	60 New Shelter Beds	N/A	3 Alternative shelter sites, 90 beds added.

If applicable for quarterly reporting, other goals from your work plan, if applicable (e.g. people served in outreach, other quantitative goals)

Goal Type	Your FY 23-24 Goal	Progress this Quarter	Progress YTD

Context narrative (optional): In no more than 500 words, please share any additional context about the data you provided in the above tables.

[enter narrative here]

Section 3. Financial reporting

Please complete the quarterly financial report and include the completed financial report to this quarterly report, as an attachment.

Yellow Cell = County to fill in Blue Cell = Formula calculation

Metro Supportive Housing Services

Financial Report (by Program Category) COMPLETE THE SECTION BELOW EVERY QUARTER. UPDATE AS NEEDED FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT.

	Annual Budget	Q1 Actuals	Q2 Actuals	Q3 Actuals	Q4 Actuals	Total YTD Actuals	Variance Under / (Over)	% of Budget	Comments
Metro SHS Resources									
Metro Beginning Fund Balance	111,634,198	111,634,198				111,634,198	(0)	100%	Adjustment to Beginning Fund Balance to remove GASB 31 Adjustment (Unrealized gains/losses of investments) that is included in Beginni Fund Balance line. Aligns this report with how Metro and other counties account for unrealized gains/losses, while allowing Beginning Fun
Metro Beginning Fund Balance Adjustment		3,839,382				3,839,382	(3,839,382)	N/A	Balance line to reflect Washington County's financial statements.
Metro SHS Program Funds	109,000,000	5,757,975	24,145,380	32,592,707	38,173,750	100,669,811	8,330,189	92%	
Metro SHS Program Funds Adjustment				(13,392,342)	15,984,500	2,592,158	(2,592,158)	N/A	*See footnote
Other Grant Funds Interest Earnings insert addt'l lines as necessary	2,000,000	125,000 710,519	851,926	118 925,208	(125,118) 867,047	3,354,701	(1,354,701)	N/A 168% N/A	Kaiser Foundation and Recuperative costs to be moved out of Fund 221 in Q4.
Total Metro SHS Resources	222,634,198	122,067,074	24,997,306	20,125,691	54,900,179	222,090,250	543,948	100%	
Metro SHS Requirements									
Program Costs									
Activity Costs Shelter, Outreach and Safety on/off the									
Street (emergency shelter, outreach services and supplies, hygiene programs)	9,678,523	1,966,255	5,646,390	954,850	6,603,829	15,171,324	(5,492,801)	157%	
Short-term Housing Assistance (rent assistance									
and services, e.g. rapid rehousing, short-term rent assistance, housing retention)	21,182,067	2,551,543	2,554,057	4,550,864	15,531,638	25,188,102	(4,006,035)	119%	
Permanent supportive housing services (wrap-around services for PSH)	11,452,584	1,192,911	1,883,955	3,800,623	3,756,563	10,634,051	818,533	93%	
Long-term Rent Assistance (RLRA, the rent	23,780,824	4,681,118	3,379,701	7.353.610	5.999.651	21.414.080	2,366,744	90%	
assistance portion of PSH) Systems Infrastructure (service provider	23,700,024	4,001,110	3,379,701	7,555,610	3,999,031	21,414,000	2,300,744	90%	
capacity building and organizational health, system development, etc)	1,876,285	873,963	340,259	62,220	744,139	2,020,581	(144,296)	108%	
Built Infrastructure (property purchases, capital improvement projects, etc)	12,943,088	1,563,056	1,914,277	4,429,475	2,838,266	10,745,072	2,198,016	83%	
Other supportive services (recuperative care, workforce projects and other pilot programs)	3,363,179	159,140	1,606,676	1,481,389	(1,143,095)	2,104,110	1,259,069	63%	
Operations (technical, employment, benefits, training and consulting)	3,753,741	645,294	932,504	710,696	9,070	2,297,565	1,456,176	61%	
insert addt'l lines for other activity categories						-	-	N/A	
Subtotal Activity Costs	88,030,291	13,633,278	18,257,818	23,343,728	34,340,061	89,574,886	(1,544,595)	102%	
Administrative Costs [1]									Service Provider Administrative Costs are reported as part of Program Costs above. Counties will provide details and context for Service Provider Administrative Costs within the narrative of their Annual Program Report.
County Admin: Long-term Rent Assistance	487,351	88,751	68,024	130,724	136,590	424,089	63,262	87%	Administrative Costs for long-term rent assistance equals 2% of Partner's YTD expenses on long-term rent assistance.
County Admin: Other	2,204,081	542,220	145,720	1,078,452	223,098	1,989,490	214,591	90%	Administrative Costs for Other Program Costs equals 3% of total YTD Other Program Costs.
Subtotal Administrative Costs	2,691,432	630,971	213,744	1,209,176	359,688	2,413,579	277,853	90%	
Other Costs									
Regional Strategy Implementation Fund [2]	5,450,000			692.372	3.468.132	4.160.503	1,289,497	76%	
insert addt'l lines as necessary	3,430,000	-		032,372	3,400,132	4,100,303	1,203,437		
Subtotal Other Costs	5,450,000	-	-	692,372	3,468,132	4,160,503	1,289,497	N/A 76%	
Subtotal Program Costs	96,171,723	14,264,249	18,471,562	25,245,276	38,167,881	96,148,968	22,755	100%	
Contingency [3]	5,450,000					-	5,450,000	0%	
Stabilization Reserve ^[4]	16,350,000					-	16,350,000	0%	
Regional Strategy Impl Fund Reserve [2]	8,228,639					-	8,228,639	0%	
RLRA Reserves Other Programmatic Reserves	96,433,836					-	96,433,836	N/A 0%	
insert addt'l lines as necessary						-	-	N/A	
Subtotal Contingency and Reserves	126,462,475	-	-			-	126,462,475	0%	
Total Metro SHS Requirements	222,634,198	14,264,249	18,471,562	25,245,276	38,167,881	96,148,968	126,485,230	43%	
Ending Fund Balance	-	107,802,825	6,525,744	(5,119,584)	16,732,298	125,941,282	(125,941,282)	N/A	This amount does not include contingencies and reserves and any available fund balance that is already committed, assigned and planned to be spent down over the next few FYs.
Fund Balance Adju	stment: GASB 31 A	diustment to valu	e investments at t	fair value: Unrealiz	ed gains/(losses)	(3.839.382)		_	
For Metro Reporting, SHS Reve		L and AUG posted	to FY 23-24 (but)	per auditors, it bel	ongs in FY 24-25)	(15,984,500)	_		
				e per County Fina			.		
*Metro SHS Program Funds Adjustment: The pur	nose is to align this	report more close	oly with how Metr	o. Multnomah Co	unty and Clackam	as County recogni	ze revenue. Wachin	ngton County's	external auditors recommended that SHS program revenue is recognized when received. For Q3, the Metro SHS Program
	August 2023 funds	received due to b	eing previously re						dudes adding July and August 2024 funds received for inclusion in the Annual Report. Washington County will recognize the

July and August 2024 funds received on the Metro SHS Program Funds line in FY 2024-25.

11 per IGA Section 3.4.2 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS, Metro recommends, but does not require, that in a given Fiscal Year Administrative Costs for SHS should not exceed 5% of annual Program Funds allocated to Partner; and that Administrative Costs for administrative Costs for administrative Costs for administrative Costs for SHS should not exceed 10% of annual Program Funds allocated by Partner for long-term rent assistance.

[3] Per IGA Section 8.3.3 REGIONAL STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION FUND, each County must contribute not less than 5% of its share of Program Funds each Fiscal Year to a Regional Strategy Implementation Fund to achieve regional investment strategies.

Per IGA Section 5.5.4 CONTINGENCY, partner may establish a contingency account in addition to a Stabilization Reserve. The contingency account will not exceed 5% of Budgeted Program Funds in a given Fiscal Year.

Per IGA Section 5.5.3 PARTNER STABILIZATION RESERVE, partner will establish and hold a Stabilization Reserve to protect against financial instability within the SHS program with a target minimum reserve level will be equal to 10% of Partner's Budgeted Program Funds in a given Fiscal Year. The Stabilization Rese

ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT ONLY Non-Displacement (IGA 5.5.1)

	FY18-19 Budget	FY19-20 Budget	Prior FY Budget	Current FY Budget	Current FY Actuals	Variance from Benchmark	Comments
Current Partner-provided SHS Funds (Partner General Funds) [5]	N/A	794,401		2,452,400	1,174,046	379,645	Decrease from FY19-20 amount requires a written woiver from Metro.
Other Funds [6]	3,875,537	N/A	4,481,259	9,469,356	4,314,335	438,798	Explain significant changes from FY18-19 Benchmark amount or Prior FY amount.

Pl per IGA Section 5.1.2 TERMS, "Current Partner-provided SHS Funds" means Partner's general funds currently provided as of FY 2019-20 towards SHS programs within Partner's jurisdictional limits including, but not limited to, within the Region. "Current Partner-provided SHS Funds" expressly excludes all other sources of funds Partner may use to fund SHS programs as of FY 2019-20 including, but not limited to, state or federal grants.

Sources or intuits serviced in any one to intuit as rap in page and a color of 20-50 including, but not initiated to, various state or federal grains.

"If per IGA Section 5.5.1.1 O'THER FUNDS include, but are not limited to, various state or federal grains and other non-general fund sources. Partner will attempt, in good faith, to maintain such funding at the same levels set forth in Partner's FY 2018-19 budget. However, because the amount and availability of these other funds are outside of Partner's control, they do not constitute Partner's Current Partner-provided SHS Funds for purposes of Displacement. Partner will provide Metro with information on the amount of other funds Partner has allocated to SHS, as well as the change, if any, of those funds from the prior Fiscal Year in its Annual Program Budget.

Yellow Cell = County to fill in Blue Cell = Formula calculation

Metro Supportive Housing Services
Financial Report for Quarterly Progress Report (IGA 7.1.2) and Annual Program Report (IGA 7.1.1)
Washington County
PT 2023-2024 Q4

Spend-Down Report for Program Costs

This section compares the spending plan of Program Costs in the	Annual Program Budget to	actual Progran	Costs in the Fin	ancial Report.			
	% of Si		Spending per Qu	iarter	Comments		
Program Costs (excluding Built Infrastructure)	_	Budget	Actual	Variance	Explain any material deviations from the Spend-Down Plan, or any changes that were made to the initial Spend-Down Plan. [1]		
	Quarter 1	15%	15%	0%	Per guidance from Metro, Program Cost spend-down budget adjusted to match actuals for first three quarters after budget amendment.		
	Quarter 2	20%	20%	0%			
	Quarter 3	25%	25%	0%			
İ	Quarter 4	25%	42%	-17%			
	Total	85%	103%	-18%	Less spent in Built-Infrastructure (as a result of more operational costs).		
	_		\$ Spending YTD		Comments		
Built Infrastructure	_	Budget	Actual	Variance	Provide a status update for below. (required each quarter)		
	Annual total	12,943,088	10,745,072	2,198,016			

[1] A "material deviation" arises when the Program Funds spent in a give	n Fiscal Year cannot be recond	iled against the	pend-down plan ti	o the degree that n	no reasonable person would conclude that Partner's spending was guided by or in conformance with the applicable spend-down plan.		

Spend-Down Report for Carryover
This section compares the spending plan of investment areas funded by carryover to actual costs.

	\$ Spending by inve	stment area	Comments		
Carryover Spend-down Plan	Budget Actual ⁽²⁾	Variance	Provide a status update for each line below. (required each quarter)		
Metro Beginning Fund Balance (carryover balance)	111,634,198 115,473,	580 (3,839,382)	GASB 31 unrealized loss is not recognized on Metro reporting (per Metro guidelines).		
Describe investment area					
Shelter Capital Funding	22,000,000 9,225		Shelter Capital Grants (POs 190269, 190805, 191001, 191781, 191953, 191984, 192020, 192408, 192942).		
Rent Assistance Expansion	10,000,000 13,137,		Eviction Prevention Contracts with Community Action Organization and Centro Cultural (POs 191471, 191943).		
Capacity Building	2,500,000 1,060		Technical Assistance and Capacity Building Grants (POs 190869, 190880, 190881, 190958, 190961, 190962, 190972, 190992, 191032, 191235, 191662, 191670, 191884, 191889, 191938, 191964, 192193, 192294, 192296, 192316, 192338, 192341, 192358, 192376, 192378, 192676).		
Supportive Housing Acquisition	17,000,000 1.628	368 15 371 632	Heartwood Common Stabilization (192462) and Elm Street (WIRE, 190129, 190283, 190338, 191963, 192613).		
Access Center Capital Construction	5.000.000		Projects committed but work and spending delayed until FY 24-25.		
Center for Addiction Triage & Treatment	1,500,000 1.500		Center for Addiction Triage and Treatment.		
nsert addt'l lines as necessary		-	-		
,	58,000,000 26,551,	372 31,448,628			
Remaining prior year carryover	53,634,198 88,922,	208 (35,288,010)			
Ending Carryover Adj. (Projected Unspent Program Expense)	12,939,399 (22.	755) 12.962.154	Projected as 15% unspent projected program expenses Actual unspent amount is less than 1%.		
inding Carryover Adj. (Δ between Dec 2022 and Aug 2023 Rev. Forecast)	27,201,667 21,057,	330 6,144,337	New Metro SHS Revenue Projection Δ.		
Y 25 revenue rollback	- 15,984,	500 (15,984,500)	Two extra months of revenue (JUL-24 and AUG-24) roll back into FY 24 to become part of FY 24-25 Carryover (per Metro guidelines).		
Metro Ending Fund Balance (carryover balance)	93.775.264 125.941.	282 (16,181,519)			
vietro Enamy rana balance (carryover balance)	93,773,204 123,541,	202 (10,101,319)			
UL-24 Revenue and AUG-24 Revenue to be part of FY 24-25	- (15,984,	500) 15,984,500	Because July and August 2024 revenues are part of FY 24-25, they do not contribute to FY 23-24 ending fund balance carryover.		
SASB 31 Unrealized Loss	(0.000		GASB 31 Unrealized Loss (adjustment to bridge the gap between investment revenues and portfolio balance at June 2023) is to be recognized per audit		
SASB 31 Officialized LOSS	- (3,839,	3,839,382	recommendation.		
Estimated Available Fund Balance for next FY planned Investment	93,775,264 106,117,	401 (197,019)	Ending fund balance per County Financial Records		
Contingency	(5,450,000) (5,750.	000) 300 000	Per Metro guidance - should be 5% of budgeted revenue. Per Metro Oct 25, 2023 projection, revenue for FY 24-25 is estimated to be \$115m.		
Stabilization Reserve	(16,350,000) (17,250,000)		Per Metro guidance - should be no less than 10% of budgeted revenue. Per Metro Oct 25, 2023 projection, revenue for FY 24-25 is estimated to be \$115m.		
Regional Strategy Impl Fund Reserve	(8,228,639) (9,814,		Eumulative Regional Strategy Implementation Fund set aside to be spent per Metro directive. Per next FY budget, this amount is expected to be \$9.8m.		
icasonal strategy impri and reserve	(0,210,033), (3,814,	333) 1,383,034			
estimated Available Fund Balance for planned Investments	63,746,625 73,303,		This amount is commited, assigned and planned to be spent over the next multiple years. This amount is an estimate because next FY will have different reserviggers (based on Metro's projected revenue for FY 24-25).		
144			he methodology for determining the proportion of actual costs covered by carryover. For example: if service providers received a 25% increase in annual contracts for capacity		